

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,072	07/22/2003	Richard Milic	FA-1156 US NA	9445
23906	7590 04/26/2005		EXAM	INER
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER			SASTRI, SATYA B	
	ILL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4417 LANCASTER PIKE			1713	
WILMINGT	ON, DE 19805		DATE MAIL ED. 04/26/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/625,072	MILIC, RICHARD)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
/	Satya B. Sastri	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered time THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 F</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matt	·	e merits is
Disposition of Claims	,		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-14 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 8-14 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be the examine and the correct to be the examine and the second sheet are sheet as a second sheet and the examine and the examine and the second sheet are sheet as a second sheet and the examine an	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyant stion is required if the drawing(nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this Nationa	l Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to amendment filed on February 7, 2005. *Claims 1-5*, 7-14 are now pending in the application.

- 2. The terminal disclaimer filed on February 7, 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 10/432,362 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.
- 3. Upon further consideration, allowable subject matter for claim 6 indicated in the office action dated 12/23/04 is withdrawn. Allowable subject matter indicated for claim 7 is sustained. Rejection of the remaining claims is presented below in this non-final office action.

Specification

4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: claim 1 as amended recites that component d) comprises 2 to 30 wt % PMMA containing filler. There is no support for this in the specification. It is noted that on page 6, line 14, support is found only for 2 to 30 wt % of ground polymer material, not the amount of PMMA in the ground polymer material. It will further be noted that should applicant amend the specification to incorporate the above limitations, claim 7 would be then objected to

Application/Control Number: 10/625,072 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a independent claim.

Claim Analysis

5. The presently amended claim introduces new limitation for component "d". The presently amended claims are interpreted as having a narrower scope than the original claims with the following limitation: (d) 2 to 30wt.%, based on the coating composition, of ground polymer material. Support for the examiner's position is found in applicant's own specification on page 6, line 14.

Claim objections

6. Claim 1 is objected because of the following informalities: The phrase "linear, branched and star structure and mixtures thereof" is applicable only to polymethacrylic and polyacrylic binders and therefore should follow these binders listed in line 3.

The spelling of "hybride" in line 3, claim 1 must be changed to "hybrid".

The spelling of "allofanates" in line 3, claim 1 must be changed to "allophanates".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/625,072 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-5, 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mayer et al. (US 6,649,688 B1) in view of Dworak et al. (US 6,423,771 B1).

The rejection is presented in the earlier office action and maintained over currently amended claims.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satya Sastri at (571) 212 1112.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached at (571) 212 1114.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1713

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SATYA SASTRI

April 21, 2005

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA PRIMARY EXAMINER